

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03150 061847Z

50

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 USIE-00 EURE-00 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00

INRE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 ACDA-05

TRSE-00 SAJ-01 CU-02 OMB-01 NEA-10 AF-06 SAM-01 EB-07

COME-00 OIC-02 /097 W

----- 080494

O R 061750Z JUN 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2192

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 5343

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USMISSION GENEVA

USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 3150

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, NAC

SUBJ: FOLLOW-UP TO THE NATO SUMMIT

GENEVA FOR USDEL CSCE

1. SYG LUNS HAS ISSUED (AS PO/75/66) A DOCUMENT LISTING A NUMBER OF MATTERS WHICH AROSE AT THE NATO SUMMIT AND WHICH IS HIS VIEW DESERVE CONTAINING CONSIDERATION OF THE PERMANENT COUNCIL. TEXT OF THIS PO IS SET FORTH BELOW, FOLLOWED BY MISSION COMMENTS KEYED TO THE SIX SUBJECTS WHICH HE HAS IDENTIFIED.

2. BEGIN TEXT

1. IN THE LIGHT OF THE DISCUSSION AMONG ALLIED LEADERS AT THEIR MEETING ON 29TH AND 30TH MAY, 1975, THE FOLLOWING MATTERS PRESENT THEMSELVES FOR THE CONTINUING CONSIDERATION OF THE PERMANENT COUNCIL:

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03150 061847Z

(I) CSCE: AS THE SECOND PHASE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS MAY BE NEARING ITS CLOSE, THE PERMANENT COUNCIL WILL WISH TO FOLLOW THE SITUATION CLOSELY, WITH A VIEW TO GIVING GUIDANCE TO THE NEGOTIATORS ON

THAT PHASE AS WELL AS ON THE TIMING AND LEVEL OF A POSSIBLE THIRD PHASE, TOGETHER WITH THE QUESTION OF "FOLLOW-UP". THE SENIOR POLITICAL COMMITTEE IS CURRENTLY PREPARING A STUDY ON THE "PUBLIC INFORMATION ASPECTS OF A POSSIBLE CSCE AGREEMENT" FOR SUBMISSION TO THE PERMANENT COUNCIL.

(II) MBFR: IN THE LIGHT OF THE PRESENTATION TO BE MADE BY THE AD HOC GROUP ON 6TH JUNE, AS WELL AS THE DISCUSSION AT THE MINISTERIAL MEETING, THE SENIOR POLITICAL COMMITTEE WILL CONTINUE TO KEEP THE NEGOTIATING SITUATION UNDER REVIEW AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PERMANENT COUNCIL.

(III) THE MEDITERRANEAN: THE PERMANENT COUNCIL WILL CONTINUE ITS CONSULTATIONS ON THIS SUBJECT, AS PREVIOUSLY, AND WILL REPORT FURTHER TO THE NEXT MINISTERIAL MEETING.

(IV) THE MIDDLE EAST: MINISTERS AGAIN EXPRESSED THEIR CONCERN ABOUT THE SITUATION IN THE MIDDLE EAST, WHICH COULD HAVE DANGEROUS CONSEQUENCES FOR WORLD PEACE AND THUS FOR THE SECURITY OF THE MEMBERS OF THE ALLIANCE. I SHALL DISCUSS PRIVATELY WITH AMBASSADORS THE CONTINUANCE OF COUNCIL CONSULTATION ON THIS SUBJECT.

(V) ECONOMIC CONSULTATION: THE PERMANENT COUNCIL

WILL WISH TO CONTINUE TO CONSULT ON THE REPERCUSSIONS WHICH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS MAY HAVE ON THE DEFENCE POSTURE AND POTENTIAL OF THE ALLIANCE.

(VI) FUTURE MINISTERIAL MEETINGS WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF HEADS OF STATE AND GOVERNMENT: THE PERMANENT COUNCIL WILL WISH TO EXAMINE THE VARIOUS MODALITIES  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 03150 061847Z

WHICH EXIST FOR MORE FREQUENT MEETINGS OF ALLIED LEADERS; WHETHER IN CONJUNCTION WITH REGULAR MINISTERIAL MEETINGS OR AS SEPARATE, LONGER AND MORE INFORMAL MEETINGS, WITH OR WITHOUT AN AGENDA.

2. I INTEND TO RAISE THESE FOLLOW-UP MATTERS FOR DISCUSSION AT THE COUNCIL MEETING ON 11TH JUNE.

3. MISSION COMMENTS (KEYED TO SUB-PARAGRAPHS IN ABOVE TEXT):

(I) CSCE. WHILE MISSION AGREES THAT COUNCIL SHOULD FOLLOW GENEVA NEGOTIATIONS CLOSELY, WE BELIEVE WE SHOULD RESIST ANY EFFORT AT THIS POINT WHICH WOULD CALL UPON THE NAC TO

COORDINATE FORMAL GUIDANCE ON STAGE II NEGOTIATIONS, STAGE III LEVEL AND TIMING, AND CSCE FOLLOW-UP. THUS, WHILE NOT RULING OUT FUTURE CSCE DISCUSSIONS IN NAC, POSSIBLY REINFORCED BY CSCE DELEGATION CHIEFS, WE ASSUME DEPARTMENT WOULD WANT US TO RESIST THE SORT OF CONSULTATIONS WHICH APPARENTLY ARE ENVISAGED IN LUNS' PO, UNLESS NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN GENEVA WOULD CLEARLY MAKE SUCH CONSULTATION USEFUL. WE EXPECT ALLIES WILL AGREE WITH THIS APPROACH. REQUEST GUIDANCE.

(II) MBFR. NAC CONSIDERATION OF MBFR POLICY, BASED ON RECOMMENDATIONS FROM SPC, IS NORMALPROCEDURE. CURRENT WORK WILL BE DETERMINED NOT ONLY BY FACTORS STATED IN PARA (II), BUT ALSO BY THE U.S. PROPOSAL FOR ALLIANCE CONSULTATIONS ON OPTION III. NO FURTHER GUIDANCE REQUIRED.

(III) MEDITERRANEAN. THIS COMMENT BY SYG IS UNOBJECTION-ABLE AND WE WOULD PLAN TO CONCUR IF SPECIFICALLY ASKED TO DO SO. THIS REPRESENTS A TECHNICALITY IN THAT SINCE THERE WAS NO ROUTINE ENDORSEMENT OF THE MOST RECENT MEDITERRANEAN REPORT AT A REGULAR SPRING 1975 MINISTERIAL MEETING (THE SUMMIT DID NOT CONSIDER ROUTINE MINISTERIAL BUSINESS), THERE WAS THUS NO FORMAL REQUEST FROM MINISTERS FOR THE PERMANENT COUNCIL TO KEEP THE SITUATION IN THE MEDITERRANEAN UNDER REVIEW DURING THE NEXT SIX MONTHS. THE COUNCIL IS SIMPLY BEING ASKED TO ASSUME THAT THERE WAS A CONSTRUCTIVE REQUEST. NO GUIDANCE REQUIRED.

(IV) THE MIDDLE EAST. WE WOULD APPRECIATE GUIDANCE ON BRIEF REMARKS AMBASSADOR MIGHT MAKE REGARDING (A) CURRENT CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 03150 061847Z

ROUND OF U.S. MIDDLE EAST TALKS, AND FOR (B) INDICATION OF U.S. VIEWS ON FUTURE NAC CONSULTATIONS ON MIDDLE EAST (SEE PARA 4A USNATO 3114). IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE GUIDANCE IN TIME FOR USE AT PERMREPS LUNCH JUNE 10.

(V) ECONOMIC CONSULTATION. U.S. INITIATED THE RECENT STUDY BY ECONOMIC COMMITTEE (SEE USNATO 2208) ON SECURITY AND POLITICAL IMPLICATIONS OF ECONOMIC SITUATION (AC/127-D/508), WHICH WAS JUST REVIEWED BY SPC (USNATO 3123) AND WILL GO TO NAC LATER THIS MONTH. GUIDANCE ALREADY REQUESTED USNATO 3123 REGARDING PREFERRED FORMAT (REINFORCED OR REGULAR) FOR NAC CONSIDERATION OF STUDY. ASSUME U.S. AS INITIATOR OF NATO CONSULTATION ON THIS SUBJECT WILL WISH SEE IT BECOME REGULAR PRIORITY TOPIC FOR ALLIED EXCHANGE OF VIEWS, IN SPIRIT OF PRESIDENT'S RECENT REMARKS AT SUMMIT ON BROADER NATO CONSULTATION ON COMMON PROBLEMS FACING ALLIANCE. REQUEST GUIDANCE.

(VI) FUTURE MINISTERIAL MEETINGS WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF HEADS OF STATE AND GOVERNMENT. SEPTTEL IN PREPARATION DISCUSSES VARIOUS OPTIONS WHICH DEPARTMENT MAY WISH TO CONSIDER IN ADDRESSING THE QUESTION OF FUTURE NATO SUMMITS. IN JUNE 11 NAC DISCUSSION, MISSION WILL PLAN TO REPEAT

PRESIDENT'S ENDORSEMENT OF PROPOSAL FOR MORE FREQUENT NATO SUMMITS  
AND--UNLESS DEPARTMENT PROVIDES MORE DETAILED GUIDANCE BEFORE NAC  
DISCUSSION--OTHERWISE WILL LIMIT OURSELVES TO ELICITING  
REACTIONS AND IDEAS OF OTHER ALLIES.BRUCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 06 JUN 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO03150  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750698/abbrzkj.tel  
**Line Count:** 169  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 07 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <07 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <09 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** FOLLOW-UP TO THE NATO SUMMIT  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO, NAC  
**To:** STATE INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS  
MBFR VIENNA  
GENEVA  
USNMR SHAPE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

